Lima, 19 de abril de 2010

Señora
Kela León
Secretaría Ejecutiva
Tribunal de Ética
Presente.-

De mi consideración:

Ante las imputaciones que la señora Liliana Matos Pardo-Figueroa, o Lily Lemasters, ha hecho al diario Trome, a través de su respetada entidad, nos vemos en la obligación de responder a los cargos, desde todo punto de vista, infundados.

En primer lugar, la señora se aventura a realizar una acusación falsa, afirmando que existe una concertada campaña de los medios del Grupo El Comercio, para difamarla y perjudicarla. Ella desconoce por completo el funcionamiento de esta empresa periodística. Nosotros, en el caso específico de Trome, mantenemos una independencia en la información, con respecto a otros diarios de esta casa editora y nos une, eso sí, el cumplimiento de los principios rectores que nos imponen objetividad, la búsqueda de la verdad y el respeto por las personas e instituciones dentro de un Estado de Derecho. De más está decir que un personaje como la señora Matos, inmersa en un penoso e indignante presunto caso de corrupción de funcionarios, investigación judicial que sigue su curso, ha sido mencionada en nuestro diario, sobre su trabajo en Discover Petroleum y por su relación con Rómulo León Alegría, actualmente preso, y del ex presidente de Petroperú, César Gutiérrez, también investigado por este caso.

Con su reacción, la señora Matos pretende callar las informaciones sobre este caso que indigna a la opinión pública, incluso el Poder Judicial desestimó una denuncia por difamación que le entabló a la señora Martha Silva, quien denunció a su ex pareja César Gutiérrez por malos manejos en la empresa estatal y, según su testimonio, por favorecer a la empresa noruega Discover Petroleum, donde esta señora era traductora.


Hacemos esta aclaración ya que respetamos a su institución y porque Trome mantiene un liderazgo en lectura, debido a que nos caracterizamos por no mentir, menos difamar e insultar, prácticas que en épocas del montesinismo hicieron tanto daño a la prensa.

Sin otro particular, y agradeciendo su atención a la presente, queda de usted.

Atentamente,

Prensa Popular S.A.C.
Diario Trome

Carlos Espinoza Olcay
Director

Jr. Miró Quesada 247, Piso 7 Lima 1 Perú Tel: (51 1) 311 6500 Fax: (51 1) 311 6353 trome@trome.com
Anthony Pellicano's Female Trouble
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Cheetahs never prosper

In a Dickensian twist, Anthony Pellicano was confronted this past week by women whose lives he had touched over the years—although the ladies in question might claim "blighted" is a more appropriate word. Tuesday saw L.A. assistant district attorney Karla Kerlin take the stand. Kerlin, who had worked as a Las Vegas showgirl before trying criminals in Los Angeles, sensed her phone was being tapped in 1999 when she was prosecuting John Gordon Jones, the so-called Limousine Rapist.

"You always seemed to know what I was doing," she told Pellicano when the alleged racketeer, acting as his own attorney, cross-examined her. Even years after her brief dancing career, Kerlin presented a striking figure in a dark outfit with a bright smear of tulip-red lipstick on her mouth. She good-naturedly deflected Pellicano's inept attempts to portray himself as being on her side during the Jones trial, like a mother denying a naughty kid his allowance.

"Yes," she said smiling, "I believe you were investigating me...[a] detective and I believed you were going to blackmail us."

Later that morning came Lily LeMasters, Pellicano's former executive personal assistant/girlfriend, who arrived in court wearing a cheetah-print jacket bearing a picture of the spotted jungle beast on its back. But cheetahs never prosper, and LeMasters looked more like a tired tabby than the top cat she was in Pellicano's office during the private investigator's heyday. With her shiny crown of blond hair and beaten-down expression, LeMasters resembled one of the more forlorn-looking creatures from a Dr. Seuss book. As with the appearance of her former office colleague, Tarita Virtue, LeMasters was ritualistically asked by defense attorney Mona Soo Hoo if she was, at that moment, under the influence of alcohol or medication.

For the next day and a half LeMasters seemed ready to pitch forward from her chair whenever prosecutors or defense counsels examined her. She spent long moments considering even the simplest questions; then the cheetah jacket leaned forward to the microphone and LeMasters' teeny, tiny voice asked, "Could you repeat that?" When queried by prosecutor Kevin Lally about John Gordon Jones' notorious, multiple-count...
sexual assault case, all she could remember was that "he had some rape problem."
Although she had once been Pellicano’s lover, she told Lally that the bullying Pellicano had once yelled at her so loudly that she had passed out in the office. By the time the P.I. cross-examined LeMasters, the only three little words she had for him were, “I can’t remember.”

LeMasters was followed by the human collateral damage of the Jones case, whose trial ended in Jones’ acquittal. This included alleged rape victim Jane Doe #8, who verified that a computerized rap sheet, generated by the LAPD for the benefit of Pellicano contractor Sgt. Mark Arneson, contained her date of birth, Social Security Number and other private data, including her employment information when she was 13 and 14 years old. None of the chivalrous male attorneys dared cross-exam her — or her friend, witness Julie Westby. That dirty work was left to Diana Kwok, a subordinate to Arneson’s lead lawyer, Chad Hummel.

The next woman up was Jude (pronounced “Judy”) Green, whose husband’s civil attorney, Bert Fields, had hired Pellicano to literally dig through Jude’s trash. She testified that she filled for divorce in 2000 from her husband, leverage-buyout king Leonard I. Green, who then got Fields to counter-sue in civil court for $25 million. The toxicity of that marriage was glimpsed when Jude claimed wasn’t sure if Leonard was now dead, merely allowing that she thought this might be the case. The court gasped when she spoke about her home, "a pretty big house" — having 48 phone lines. I couldn’t help imagining what the last years of that marriage were like, and thought of comedian Selma Diamond’s routine about a wife whose huge wedding ring draws an admiring comment from another woman:

"I told her the ring came with the Plotkin Curse. She asked, ‘What’s the Plotkin Curse?’ I said, ‘Mr. Plotkin.’"

Nevertheless, the comic antics of Pellicano around Jude were less Borscht Belt and more Curb Your Enthusiasm. One day in 2001, Jude Green said, she was taking her dog to the groomer when Pellicano parked his Mercedes Benz perpendicular to hers, wedging her in. He then stood staring at her with his arms folded. A little shaken, she proceeded to the groomer’s shop, only to turn around to find Pellicano with his face pressed against the establishment’s window. Upon leaving, Jude told him she was backing her car up, whereupon he scrambled to drive his expensive ride out of harm’s way. She next parked in front of a Peet’s coffee shop and ran inside, "to be around people." Tough guy Pellicano followed and shoved her in line before she turned around and pushed him, yelling, "Back off!" Of all the people in Peet’s that day, none could have been more startled than the P.I. to the Stars — here was a woman who shoved back.
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Comments (3) ▼

Roxana Rotondo says:
Steven,
Lily LeMasters was wearing a LEOPARD print jacket... not a Cheetah print! I was outside the court room, just to get a glimpse at her, and she did not look like a tired tabby at all! On the contrary, I thought ( and I’m sure all in that court room)tthat she looked awesome and very, very sexy! She was dressed and walked like a million dollar babe!

Posted On: Thursday, Apr. 17 2008 @ 2:18PM

Ashley Torres says:
No, no, no, Roxana! I was also there. Lily looked like she always looked, a tart, a skenk,
Anthony Pellicano's Female Trouble

As a Los Angeles attorney, Anthony Pellicano has faced numerous legal challenges throughout his career. However, one case stands out as particularly significant. In a federal court, a former client of Pellicano's confessed to an alleged plot to kidnap and sexually assault a woman. The case, which gained national attention, involved a scheme to exhume a victim's body from a grave in order to gain control over her inheritance.

The case was fiercely contested, with both sides portraying their versions of events. Pellicano's defense team argued that the plot was a threatened by a vengeful ex-partner seeking revenge. Meanwhile, the prosecution maintained that Pellicano was directly involved in the scheme from the beginning.

The trial lasted several months, with witness testimony from a range of individuals, including former clients and associates of Pellicano. Despite the efforts of his defense team, Pellicano was convicted on multiple counts of conspiracy and murder.

The case was a turning point in Pellicano's career, leading to a lengthy prison sentence. It also highlighted the dangers of legal services and the potential for abuse by those in the legal profession.

For more information, visit http://blogs.laweekly.com/la-daily/pellicano-brief/anthony-pellicano-female-troub